Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,000	YOSHIHARA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary Chin	3661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Gary Chin.	(3)	
(2) William Westerman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-9 and 11		
- and Ti		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	DAL MATURE OF WILLAT WAS	DIOCHOOFD.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  It was agreed to amend the above-identified claims as set forth in the examiner's amendment to overcome the 112, second paragraph problems as discussed during the telephone interview in order to place the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
0 00 -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		